

Digital Brain Maps and Virtual Neuroscience. An Emerging Role for Light-Sheet Fluorescence Microscopy in Drug Development

Johanna Perens and Jacob Hecksher-Sørensen*

Gubra ApS, Hørsholm, Denmark

The mammalian brain is by far the most advanced organ to have evolved and the underlying biology is extremely complex. However, with aging populations and sedentary lifestyles, the prevalence of neurological disorders is increasing around the world. Consequently, there is a dire need for technologies that can help researchers to better understand the complexity of the brain and thereby accelerate therapies for diseases with origin in the central nervous system. One such technology is light-sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) which in combination with whole organ immunolabelling has made it possible to visualize an intact mouse brain with single cell resolution. However, the price for this level of detail comes in form of enormous datasets that often challenges extraction of quantitative information. One approach for analyzing whole brain data is to align the scanned brains to a reference brain atlas. Having a fixed spatial reference provides each voxel of the sample brains with x-, y-, z-coordinates from which it is possible to obtain anatomical information on the observed fluorescence signal. An additional and important benefit of aligning light sheet data to a reference brain is that the aligned data provides a digital map of gene expression or cell counts which can be deposited in databases or shared with other scientists. This review focuses on the emerging field of virtual neuroscience using digital brain maps and discusses some of challenges incurred when registering LSFM recorded data to a standardized brain template.

Keywords: light-sheet, iDISCO, tissue clearing, obesity, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinsion's disease, neurodegeneration, LSFM

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Optical imaging of immunolabelled and cleared samples began nearly two decades ago and was initially focused on mouse embryos and smaller organisms (Sharpe et al., 2002; Huisken et al., 2004). However, methods were soon developed to allow imaging of whole organs from adult mice (Alanentalo et al., 2007; Dodt et al., 2007). Since then, a steadily growing number of methods and clearing protocols have been developed for improving biocompatibility, antibody penetration, tissue transparency, and preservation of endogenous fluorescence from proteins like green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Hama et al., 2011; Ertürk et al., 2012; Chung et al., 2013; Renier et al., 2014; Susaki et al., 2014; Klingberg et al., 2017; Ueda et al., 2020). By now the ability to

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Nina Hagemann, Essen University Hospital, Germany

Reviewed by:

Mike Hawrylycz, Allen Institute for Brain Science, United States

> ***Correspondence:** Jacob Hecksher-Sørensen jhs@gubra.dk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Brain Imaging Methods, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 31 January 2022 Accepted: 21 March 2022 Published: 15 April 2022

Citation:

Perens J and Hecksher-Sørensen J (2022) Digital Brain Maps and Virtual Neuroscience. An Emerging Role for Light-Sheet Fluorescence Microscopy in Drug Development. Front. Neurosci. 16:866884. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.866884

1

study biology at the whole organ level with single cell resolution has been applied to all mouse organs (Yang et al., 2014; Pan et al., 2016). However, it was immediately recognized that the brain is particularly well-suited for whole organ imaging and consequently, there is a great hope that the lightsheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) can help advance our understanding of neuroscience and to aid the development of new drugs and therapies against diseases originating in the central nervous system (CNS). Many disorders that are burdening health care systems around the world have a neuronal origin and therapies focused on treatment of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), multiple sclerosis (MS), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and Huntington's disease (HD) are directed towards the brain (Chou et al., 2021). In addition, there is growing evidence that treatment of metabolic diseases such as obesity and diabetes would benefit from a better understanding of the brain (Gasser et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2021). While whole brain imaging of cleared brains has been used to study both the pathology and drug effects in some of the above-mentioned diseases (Secher et al., 2014; Liebmann et al., 2016; Salinas et al., 2018; Detrez et al., 2019; Gabery et al., 2020; Boland et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2021), LSFM has yet to become an established method in drug development. A possible explanation for this is the relatively low throughput imposed by the enormous datasets generated. The giga- or even terabyte amounts of data generated for each brain that is scanned challenges quantification of desired endpoints. The pharma industry selects and qualifies drugs based on statistical validation of their target, and consequently, high throughput and large study groups are needed. To accommodate this, several parameters need to be optimized: (1) high volume processing of the samples, (2) microscopes and IT infrastructure to enable fast scanning and handling of data, and (3) cloudbased platforms to convey the data generated. In this context, the brain has several advantages over other organs. Due to its immense complexity, the morphology of the mouse brain is very conserved and the brains from two individual mice are almost identical. For this reason, it is possible to align individual mouse brains to a brain template of a reference atlas (Renier et al., 2016; Murakami et al., 2018; Salinas et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Perens et al., 2021a; Newmaster et al., 2022), and at the same time extract spatial information, volumetric information, number of detected cells and fluorescence intensities using anatomical segmentations. However, one very important feature resulting from the alignment of raw data to a reference atlas is the three-dimensional digital map which encompasses all the spatial information relating to the distribution of the measured fluorescence signal.

GENERATING DIGITAL BRAIN MAPS

The use of brain templates is common for MRI recorded data (Badea et al., 2019; Gutierrez-Barragan et al., 2022). However, for LSFM recorded data the same approach is complicated by the many different factors influencing tissue morphology and subsequent atlas registration (**Figures 1A–C**). In most cases,

the autofluorescence is used to register the raw data to the reference brain. Consequently, factors that will influence the autofluorescence may also impact the final registration or affect the quantification of cells or signal intensities. One example is aging which leads to a buildup of lipofuscin which has been shown to increase the overall levels of autofluorescence and complicate the quantification of cFos positive cells (Perens et al., 2021a). Being an ex vivo technology, digital brain maps generated using LSFM depend heavily on the reagents used to clear the samples (Zhu et al., 2018). While some clearing reagents preserve the endogenous fluorescence of proteins such as GFP or RFP, others will quench it. Similarly, some clearing protocols will cause the tissue to expand while others lead to tissue shrinkage (Ueda et al., 2020; Vieites-Prado and Renier, 2021). Ultimately, the generated data is mapped to an annotated reference brain (Figure 1D; Liebmann et al., 2016; Renier et al., 2016; Salinas et al., 2018), which in most cases is the Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework version 3 (CCFv3) from Allen Institute of Brain Science (AIBS) (Wang et al., 2020). However, the degree of tissue distortion inferred by the clearing reagents may impact the quality of the alignment and lead to erroneous correspondence of anatomical structures between the sample and atlas. For this reason, reference atlases have been generated for specific clearing reagents (Murakami et al., 2018; Perens et al., 2021a). While these atlases improve the quality of alignment, they are not compatible with brain maps aligned to AIBS CCFv3. One way to overcome this is to develop algorithms that allow switching between different atlases (Claudi et al., 2020; Tyson and Margrie, 2022). Although these algorithms are still being developed, they will eventually enable bridging of data acquired with different imaging modalities making it possible to overlay in vivo images obtained with MRI and ex vivo data obtained with LSFM, or images acquired with other imaging techniques. A significant benefit of the digital maps generated following alignment of the raw data with a brain atlas is that their relatively small size (approx. 100 megabytes) makes them suitable for sharing and browsing in interactive online platforms. The resolution of a raw LSFM dataset depends on several parameters such as clearing protocol, type of microscope, and scan settings, but in most cases, the minimum resolution of the raw data is $1-2 \ \mu m^2$ in the x/y scanning plane and 5 μ m in z-axis which corresponds to 10–12 gigabytes. However, datasets can be much bigger and multitiled scans obtained with high-resolution objectives may produce images in terabyte-range. In contrast, the atlases available for alignment provide an isotropic voxel size of $10-25 \ \mu m^3$ (Wang et al., 2020; Perens et al., 2021a). Consequently, the atlas-aligned maps no longer support single cell resolution, and it is not possible to study co-localization or intracellular events using such brain maps. However, the ability to combine multiple digital maps in the same spatial reference space, enables the generation of probability or statistical maps (Figure 1E). These maps are particularly useful because they encompass sample variations caused by differences in biology, tissue processing, and atlas alignment. Although individual mouse brains are similar, they are not identical, and some biological variation is to be expected. This may include subtle differences in brain morphology, gene expression, or how each animal responds to drug treatment or



FIGURE 1 | Factors influencing the generation of digital brain maps. (A) The choice of mouse strain can aftect the overall architecture and size of the brain. Phenotypes (such as obesity) and age of the mice can lead to accumulation of lipofuscin and increased autofluorescence. The choice of fixative can impact downstream factors such as antibody penetration. Physical damage when isolating the brain may also affect subsequent registration. (B) The choice of protocol used for immunolabelling, and clearing is one of the biggest factors affecting registration of lipofuscine and at the same time reduce the intensity of exogenously applied fluorescence (biodistribution). Finally, the clearing step will often lead to shrinkage or expansion of the tissue and may cause erroneous mapping. (C) When scanning the brains filter settings, step size, scan resolution, stitching are all factors that impact the registration to a reference brain. (D) The choice of CCF template and registration algorithm is important for the registration quality and should in theory be designed to match the effects of the clearing media (i.e., shrinkage or expansion). The resulting map generated from the raw data relies on the segmentation used to quantify the signal and can range from fluorescent intensities to cell nuclei or vascular segmentation. (E) Once the data have been mapped, they can be combined in various ways. The data can therefore be represented as single maps, average maps, or z-score (statistical) maps which encompass the data from multiple groups of animals.

physiological changes (Renier et al., 2016; Hansen et al., 2021; Perens et al., 2021a). In addition, physical damage may occur when the brain is dissected or during processing. The resulting brain map from an individual mouse will therefore be affected by all these parameters. However, when the maps from several mice, treated with the same drug and processed the same way, are superimposed on top of each other, signal variations from individual mice will be diluted while the similarities will be enhanced. The resulting average maps will therefore reflect the most probable areas of gene expression or neural activity. The average maps of several study groups can also be combined to visualize differences between treatment groups (Salinas et al., 2018; Roostalu et al., 2019) or genotypes (Skovbjerg et al., 2021). When studying drug responses or other types of induced

neuronal activity, it is necessary to generate voxel-wise Z-score or *p*-value maps, where the observed differences between the treatment and control groups are taken into account at voxel level (Renier et al., 2016; Nectow et al., 2017; Hansen et al., 2021; Perens et al., 2021b). A single digital Z-score map can consequently comprise information from several study groups and many mice. So, although digital brain maps lack the high resolution of the original images, they solve some of the major issues the LSFM field has been facing when trying to apply the technology to drug development, namely embedded statistical information, and reasonable sizes for cloud-based sharing. It should be noted that not all signals are equally well-represented in z-score maps. Scattered cells or random structures such as vasculature are best viewed in single maps because the large intra-group variation will lead to smearing of the signal when generating the average maps.

VIRTUAL NEUROSCIENCE

The concept of registering CNS data to an atlas was pioneered by Allen's CCF (Allen Institute for Brain Science, 2011) and today the AIBS is used extensively by neuroscientist around the world. However, the combination of LSFM and subsequent alignment of brain samples to a reference space has enabled individual labs to produce digital brain maps. Digital brain maps can be generated from any endpoint in the brain that can be visualized using fluorescence (**Figures 2A–D**). At present, this includes gene expression (Renier et al., 2014; Roostalu et al., 2019; Mano et al., 2021), neuronal projections (Ueda et al., 2020; Mano et al., 2021), physiological neuronal activity (Renier et al., 2016; Nectow et al., 2017), drug-induced neuronal activity (Salinas et al., 2018; Boland et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2021; Perens et al., 2021a; Skovbjerg et al., 2021), drug distribution (Secher et al., 2014; Gabery et al., 2020), and neurological pathologies (Liebmann et al., 2016; Detrez et al., 2019). If all the maps generated in these studies had been mapped to the same reference brain, they would, in theory, be compatible with each other (Figure 2A). Because digital brain maps are relatively small in comparison to the original datasets, they can readily be deposited in databases and browsed in real-time using cloud-based platforms and recently databases (Figure 2B) such as Cubic Cloud¹ and NeuroPedia has been developed for this purpose². Consequently, it will therefore be possible to virtually combine gene expression with neuronal projections or neuronal activity in response to a drug or physiological condition (Figure 2C). However, a major caveat of digital brain maps is that once the data has been aligned to a reference space, it is practically impossible to determine the quality of the registration and the detection of the specific endpoint. Since tissue clearing can lead to either shrinkage or expansion depending on the choice of clearing reagents, this will impact subsequent alignment differently (Murakami et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2018; Perens et al., 2021a). A number of parameters can be measured when

¹https://cubic-cloud.com/ ²www.neuropedia.dk



(C) Individual brains maps to visualize the differences between treatment and control. (B) Data mapped to the same template can be stored in cloud-based repositories.
(C) Individual brains maps can be uploaded and compared to new maps. For example, it is possible to compare the effects of new drugs to existing benchmarks.
(D) In the future, it might be possible to align maps across different databases, combining *in vivo* and *ex vivo* data or overlap neuronal activation with gene expression or single cell sequencing data.

registering the raw data to a brain template (Figure 1D; Quality control measures). For example, it is possible to measure the degree of deformation required to align individual brains to a given atlas (Perens et al., 2021a) or to quantify changes in regional brain volumes in response to gene inactivation (Skovbjerg et al., 2021). It might also be possible to use AI algorithms to place a series of landmarks within the brain and use these to determine the quality of alignment. However, it remains to be determined if such parameters can be used to validate the overall quality of digital maps. In case of immunolabelling, the detection of specific endpoint depends on the choice of protocol, antibody penetration, antibody specificity, and microscope settings. These factors are very difficult to control for, but one solution could be to record as much metadata as possible which can then be provided together with each map. This will not eliminate maps with false information but over time several maps will show similar patterns increasing the likelihood that they are correct. Going forward it will be important to define standardized quality measures for alignment of whole brain data to reference atlases in a similar manner to those defined in other fields of research (Wilkinson et al., 2016).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES AND IMPLICATIONS IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT

In previous decades several omics revolutions have taken place. Common for all of them is that they required repositories for sharing information and data. Recently digital brain maps generated from LSFM acquired data have made it possible to make similar repositories for sharing information on the brain (see text footnotes 1, 2). The kind of maps that can be generated ranges from gene expression, connectivity, neuronal activation, and pathological endpoints. However, using online browsers it will also be possible to compare all these maps interactively on

REFERENCES

- Alanentalo, T., Asayesh, A., Morrison, H., Lorén, C. E., Holmberg, D., Sharpe, J., et al. (2007). Tomographic molecular imaging and 3D quantification within adult mouse organs. *Nat. Methods* 4, 31–33. doi: 10.1038/nmeth985
- Allen Institute for Brain Science (2011). Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Washington, WA: Allen Institute for Brain Science.
- Badea, A., Ng, K. L., Anderson, R. J., Zhang, J., Miller, M. I., and O'Brien, R. J. (2019). Magnetic resonance imaging of mouse brain networks plasticity following motor learning. *PLoS One* 14:e0216596. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL. PONE.0216596
- Boland, B. B., Laker, R. C., O'Brien, S., Sitaula, S., Sermadiras, I., Nielsen, J. C., et al. (2021). Peptide-YY3-36/glucagon-like peptide-1 combination treatment of obese diabetic mice improves insulin sensitivity associated with recovered pancreatic β-cell function and synergistic activation of discrete hypothalamic and brainstem neuronal circuitries. *Mol. Metab.* 55:101392. doi: 10.1016/j. molmet.2021.101392
- Chen, W.-T., Lu, A., Craessaerts, K., Lundeberg, J., Fiers, M., De, B., et al. (2020). Spatial transcriptomics and in situ eequencing to study Alzheimer's disease. *Cell* 182, 976–991.e19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.038
- Chou, S.-C., Aggarwal, A., Dawson, V. L., Dawson, T. M., and Kam, T.-I. (2021). Recent advances in preventing neurodegenerative diseases. *Fac. Rev.* 10:81. doi: 10.12703/R/10-81

a laptop. For biotech and pharma companies, this will enable them to compare the digital maps from a new drug candidate to the maps of existing drugs that are already on the market or to maps derived from drugs with known side effects. In the case of diabetes, it would be possible to use retrograde tracing to label regions in the brain responsible for innervating the beta cells in the pancreas (Rosario et al., 2016). This map could be used in combination with neural activation in response to changes in blood glucose or maps derived from models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. In turn, these maps could be superimposed on top of drugs known to affect blood glucose. Combination of all this information may help to identify the most important regions regulating blood glucose and eventually support the development of better drugs. A similar approach could be applied to any disease originating in the brain; however, it is important to note that the maps might come from many individual labs and this collaborative effort may pave the way for communitydriven virtual neuroscience. The combination of metadata and digital brain maps in shared repositories has the potential to accelerate drug discovery by allowing sophisticated data mining. A long-term ambition of LSFM recorded brain data is therefore to become more established in biotech and pharma industry and directly benefit patients suffering from CNS-derived disorders. In the future, it may even be possible to combine imaging data with other maps derived from spatial transcriptomics or single cell sequencing allowing imaging data to be correlated to gene expression (Figure 2D; Zingg et al., 2014; Erö et al., 2018; Morita et al., 2019; Reimann et al., 2019; Tiklová et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Shichkova et al., 2021).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors conceived and wrote the manuscript and approved the submitted version.

- Chung, K., Wallace, J., Kim, S.-Y., Kalyanasundaram, S., Andalman, A. S., Davidson, T. J., et al. (2013). Structural and molecular interrogation of intact biological systems. *Nature* 497, 332–337. doi: 10.1038/nature12107
- Claudi, F., Petrucco, L., Tyson, A., Branco, T., Margrie, T., and Portugues, R. (2020). BrainGlobe atlas API: a common interface for neuroanatomical atlases. *J. Open Source Softw.* 5:2668. doi: 10.21105/JOSS.02668
- Detrez, J. R., Maurin, H., Van Kolen, K., Willems, R., Colombelli, J., Lechat, B., et al. (2019). Regional vulnerability and spreading of hyperphosphorylated tau in seeded mouse brain. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 127, 398–409. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2019. 03.010
- Dodt, H. U., Leischner, U., Schierloh, A., Jährling, N., Mauch, C. P., Deininger, K., et al. (2007). Ultramicroscopy: three-dimensional visualization of neuronal networks in the whole mouse brain. *Nat. Methods* 4, 331–336. doi: 10.1038/ nmeth1036
- Erö, C., Gewaltig, M. O., Keller, D., and Markram, H. (2018). A cell atlas for the mouse brain. *Front. Neuroinform.* 12:84. doi: 10.3389/FNINF.2018.00084/ BIBTEX
- Ertürk, A., Becker, K., Jährling, N., Mauch, C. P., Hojer, C. D., Egen, J. G., et al. (2012). Three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs using 3DISCO. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 1983–1995. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.119
- Gabery, S., Salinas, C. G., Paulsen, S. J., Ahnfelt-Rønne, J., Alanentalo, T., Baquero, A. F., et al. (2020). Semaglutide lowers body weight in rodents via distributed neural pathways. *JCI Insight* 5:e133429. doi: 10.1172/JCI.INSIGHT.133429

- Gasser, E., Moutos, C. P., Downes, M., and Evans, R. M. (2017). FGF1 a new weapon to control type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 13, 599–609. doi: 10.1038/NRENDO.2017.78
- Gutierrez-Barragan, D., Singh, N. A., Alvino, F. G., Coletta, L., Rocchi, F., De Guzman, E., et al. (2022). Unique spatiotemporal fMRI dynamics in the awake mouse brain. *Curr. Biol.* 32, 631–644.e6. doi: 10.1016/J.CUB.2021.12.015
- Hama, H., Kurokawa, H., Kawano, H., Ando, R., Shimogori, T., Noda, H., et al. (2011). Scale: a chemical approach for fluorescence imaging and reconstruction of transparent mouse brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1481–1488.
- Hansen, H. H., Perens, J., Roostalu, U., Skytte, J. L., Salinas, C. G., Barkholt, P., et al. (2021). Whole-brain activation signatures of weight-lowering drugs. *Mol. Metab.* 47:101171. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2021.101171
- Huisken, J., Swoger, J., Del Bene, F., Wittbrodt, J., and Stelzer, E. H. K. (2004). Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy. *Science* 305, 1007–1009. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.1100035
- Klingberg, A., Hasenberg, A., Ludwig-Portugall, I., Medyukhina, A., Männ, L., Brenzel, A., et al. (2017). Fully automated evaluation of total glomerular number and capillary tuft size in nephritic kidneys using lightsheet microscopy. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 28, 452–459. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016020232
- Liebmann, T., Renier, N., Bettayeb, K., Greengard, P., Tessier-Lavigne, M., and Flajolet, M. (2016). Three-dimensional study of Alzheimer's disease hallmarks using the iDISCO clearing method. *Cell Rep.* 16, 1138–1152.
- Mano, T., Murata, K., Kon, K., Shimizu, C., Ono, H., Shi, S., et al. (2021). CUBIC-Cloud provides an integrative computational framework toward communitydriven whole-mouse-brain mapping. *Cell Rep. Methods* 1:100038.
- Morita, M., Shimokawa, K., Nishimura, M., Nakamura, S., Tsujimura, Y., Takemoto, S., et al. (2019). ViBrism DB: an interactive search and viewer platform for 2D/3D anatomical images of gene expression and co-expression networks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D859–D866. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKY951
- Müller, T. D., Blüher, M., Tschöp, M. H., and DiMarchi, R. D. (2021). Anti-obesity drug discovery: advances and challenges. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 21, 201–223. doi: 10.1038/S41573-021-00337-8
- Murakami, T. C., Mano, T., Saikawa, S., Horiguchi, S. A., Shigeta, D., Baba, K., et al. (2018). A three-dimensional single-cell-resolution whole-brain atlas using CUBIC-X expansion microscopy and tissue clearing. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 625–637. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0109-1
- Nectow, A. R., Schneeberger, M., Zhang, H., Field, B. C., Renier, N., Azevedo, E., et al. (2017). Identification of a brainstem circuit controlling feeding. *Cell* 170, 429–442.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.045
- Newmaster, K. T., Kronman, F. A., Wu, Y., and Kim, Y. (2022). Seeing the forest and Its trees together: implementing 3D light microscopy pipelines for cell type mapping in the mouse brain. *Front. Neuroanat.* 15:787601. doi: 10.3389/ FNANA.2021.787601
- Pan, C., Cai, R., Quacquarelli, F. P., Ghasemigharagoz, A., Lourbopoulos, A., Matryba, P., et al. (2016). Shrinkage-mediated imaging of entire organs and organisms using uDISCO. *Nat. Methods* 13, 859–867. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3964
- Perens, J., Salinas, C. G., Skytte, J. L., Roostalu, U., Dahl, A. B., Dyrby, T. B., et al. (2021a). An optimized mouse brain atlas for automated mapping and quantification of neuronal activity using iDISCO⁺ and light sheet fluorescence microscopy. *Neuroinformatics* 19, 433–446. doi: 10.1007/S12021-020-09490-8/ FIGURES/5
- Perens, J., Skytte, J. L., Salinas, C. G., Hecksher-Sorensen, J., Dyrby, T. B., and Dahl, A. B. (2021b). "Comparative study of voxel-based statistical analysis methods for fluorescently labelled and light sheet imaged whole-brain samples," in *Proceedings of 2021 IEEE 18th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging*, (Piscataway, NJ: IEEE), 1433–1437. doi: 10.1109/ISBI48211.2021.94 34015
- Reimann, M. W., Gevaert, M., Shi, Y., Lu, H., Markram, H., and Muller, E. (2019). A null model of the mouse whole-neocortex micro-connectome. *Nat. Commun.* 10:3903. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11630-x
- Renier, N., Adams, E. L., Kirst, C., Wu, Z., Azevedo, R., Kohl, J., et al. (2016). Mapping of brain activity by automated volume analysis of immediate early genes. *Cell* 165, 1789–1802. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2016.05.007
- Renier, N., Wu, Z., Simon, D. J., Yang, J., Ariel, P., and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2014). IDISCO: a simple, rapid method to immunolabel large tissue samples for volume imaging. *Cell* 159, 896–910. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.010
- Roostalu, U., Salinas, C. B. G., Thorbek, D. D., Skytte, J. L., Fabricius, K., Barkholt, P., et al. (2019). Quantitative whole-brain 3D imaging of tyrosine hydroxylaselabelled neuron architecture in the mouse MPTP model of Parkinson's disease. *Dis. Model Mech.* 12:dmm042200. doi: 10.1242/dmm.042200

- Rosario, W., Singh, I., Wautlet, A., Patterson, C., Flak, J., Becker, T. C., et al. (2016). The brain-to-pancreatic islet neuronal map reveals differential glucose regulation from distinct hypothalamic regions. *Diabetes* 65, 2711–2723. doi: 10.2337/DB15-0629/-/DC1
- Salinas, C. B. G., Lu, T. T. H., Gabery, S., Marstal, K., Alanentalo, T., Mercer, A. J., et al. (2018). Integrated brain atlas for unbiased mapping of nervous system effects following liraglutide treatment. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10310. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28496-6
- Secher, A., Jelsing, J., Baquero, A. F., Hecksher-Sørensen, J., Cowley, M. A., Dalbøge, L. S., et al. (2014). The arcuate nucleus mediates GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide-dependent weight loss. J. Clin. Invest. 124, 4473–4488. doi: 10.1172/JCI75276
- Sharpe, J., Ahlgren, U., Perry, P., Hill, B., Ross, A., Hecksher-Sørensen, J., et al. (2002). Optical projection tomography as a tool for 3D microscopy and gene expression studies. *Science* 296, 541–545. doi: 10.1126/science.1068206
- Shichkova, P., Coggan, J. S., Markram, H., and Keller, D. (2021). A standardized brain molecular atlas: a resource for systems modeling and simulation. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 14:604559. doi: 10.3389/FNMOL.2021.604559
- Skovbjerg, G., Roostalu, U., Hansen, H. H., Lutz, T. A., Le Foll, C., Salinas, C. G., et al. (2021). Whole-brain mapping of amylin-induced neuronal activity in receptor activity-modifying protein 1/3 knockout mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 54, 4154–4166. doi: 10.1111/ejn.15254
- Susaki, E. A., Tainaka, K., Perrin, D., Kishino, F., Tawara, T., Watanabe, T. M., et al. (2014). Whole-brain imaging with single-cell resolution using chemical cocktails and computational analysis. *Cell* 157, 726–739. doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2014.03.042
- Tiklová, K., Björklund, ÅK., Lahti, L., Fiorenzano, A., Nolbrant, S., Gillberg, L., et al. (2019). Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals midbrain dopamine neuron diversity emerging during mouse brain development. *Nat. Commun.* 10:581. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08453-1
- Tyson, A. L., and Margrie, T. W. (2022). Mesoscale microscopy and image analysis tools for understanding the brain. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 168, 81–93. doi: 10.1016/J.PBIOMOLBIO.2021.06.013
- Ueda, H. R., Ertürk, A., Chung, K., Gradinaru, V., Chédotal, A., Tomancak, P., et al. (2020). Tissue clearing and its applications in neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 21, 61–79. doi: 10.1038/s41583-019-0250-1
- Vieites-Prado, A., and Renier, N. (2021). Tissue clearing and 3D imaging in developmental biology. *Development* 148:dev199369. doi: 10.1242/DEV.199369
- Wang, Q., Ding, S. L., Li, Y., Royall, J., Feng, D., Lesnar, P., et al. (2020). The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: a 3D reference atlas. *Cell* 181, 936–953.e20. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.007
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., et al. (2016). The fair guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci. Data* 3:160018. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.18
- Yang, B., Treweek, J. B., Kulkarni, R. P., Deverman, B. E., Chen, C. K., Lubeck, E., et al. (2014). Single-cell phenotyping within transparent intact tissue through whole-body clearing. *Cell* 158, 945–958. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2014.07.017/ ATTACHMENT/33ECD924-9930-4482-B0DC-A279840D7B55/MMC5.MP4
- Zhu, D., Wan, P., Yu, T., and Zhu, J. (2018). Comparison of seven optical clearing methods for mouse brain. in *Proceedings of the Neural Imaging and Sensing* 2018. (Bellingham, WA: SPIE). doi: 10.1117/12.2289392
- Zingg, B., Hintiryan, H., Gou, L., Song, M. Y., Bay, M., Bienkowski, M. S., et al. (2014). Neural networks of the mouse neocortex. *Cell* 156, 1096–1111. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2014.02.023

Conflict of Interest: JP and JH-S were employees at Gubra and owned shares in Gubra. Gubra was the owner of NeuroPedia (www.neuropedia.dk).

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Perens and Hecksher-Sørensen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.